

CONCEPT HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE VEHICLE

FINAL REPORT: PHASE TWO

DECEMBER 1998



*Center for Transportation
Research and Education*

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Iowa Department of Transportation, the Michigan Department of Transportation, or the Minnesota Department of Transportation at the time of its publication. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The authors and the sponsoring states do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the objectives of the report.

CTRE's mission is to develop and implement innovative methods, materials, and technologies for improving transportation efficiency, safety, and reliability, while improving the learning environment of students, faculty, and staff in transportation-related fields.

CONCEPT HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE VEHICLE

FINAL REPORT: PHASE TWO

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

DUANE E. SMITH

PRINCIPAL CONTRIBUTORS

BILL M. MCCALL

DENNIS KROEGER

RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

JEFF ZOGG

TIM SIMODYNES

KAREN GIESE

Center for Transportation Research and Education
Iowa State University Research Park
2625 N. Loop Drive, Suite 2100
Ames, IA 50010-8615
515-294-8103
515-294-0467 (fax)
www.ctre.iastate.edu/

sponsored by



**Iowa Department
of Transportation**



**U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration**

DECEMBER 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	xv
-----------------------------	-----------

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
--------------------------------	----------

PHASE II OBJECTIVES	1
<i>Objective 1: Install Technologies.....</i>	3
<i>Objective 2: Conduct Proof of Concept.....</i>	4
<i>Objective 3: Compare Sensor Data with Other Sources</i>	5
<i>Objective 4: Document Cost of Technologies.....</i>	5
<i>Objective 5: Solicit Operator Input.....</i>	5
<i>Objective 6: Integrate and Format Data.....</i>	6

BACKGROUND

CHAPTER 1: STUDY OVERVIEW.....	9
---------------------------------------	----------

STUDY CONSORTIUM.....	10
STUDY PHILOSOPHY.....	11
<i>Agency-level Mission Statement.....</i>	11
<i>Project-level Mission Statement.....</i>	11
<i>General Approach.....</i>	11
<i>Study Objectives.....</i>	11
<i>Phase II Objectives</i>	12
STUDY APPROACH.....	12
<i>Phase I: Establish Vehicle Requirements</i>	12
<i>Phase II: Develop Prototype Vehicles and Conduct Proof of Concept</i>	15
<i>Phase III: Conduct Field Evaluation of Selected Technologies.....</i>	17
<i>Phase IV. Conduct Fleet Evaluation in Each State</i>	18
A VISION FOR THE FUTURE.....	18

CHAPTER 2: PHASE I SUMMARY.....	21
--	-----------

LITERATURE SEARCH.....	21
DESIRED VEHICLE FUNCTIONALITY.....	22
<i>Process.....</i>	23
<i>Focus Groups.....</i>	24
PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS	24
DETERMINING ACTUAL VEHICLE FUNCTIONALITY.....	25
PRODUCTION TIMELINES.....	26
PRODUCTION TEAM AND LOCATION	26
TECHNICAL REPORT	26
SUMMARY.....	27

TECHNOLOGY DISCUSSION

CHAPTER 3: PROOF OF CONCEPT	29
INSTALLATION	29
PERFORMANCE	30
PROOF OF CONCEPT SUMMARIES.....	30
CHAPTER 4: INTRODUCTION TO TECHNOLOGIES.....	33
BASIC PROTOTYPE VEHICLE CONFIGURATIONS.....	33
PROTOTYPE VEHICLE ROUTES	37
CHAPTER 5: TRUCKS, PLOWS, SPREADERS,.....	41
OBJECTIVE	41
MEASUREMENT	41
DISCUSSION	42
<i>Iowa Prototype Vehicle</i>	42
<i>Michigan Prototype Vehicle</i>	46
<i>Minnesota Prototype Vehicle</i>	48
OBSERVATIONS	51
CHAPTER 6: PLOWMASTER	53
OBJECTIVE	53
MEASUREMENT	53
DISCUSSION	54
<i>PlowMaster Components and Installation</i>	54
<i>Operations: Data Collection, Formatting, and Storage</i>	56
<i>Operations: MDT Display</i>	59
<i>Performance</i>	69
OBSERVATIONS	70
CHAPTER 7: GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS)	73
OBJECTIVE	73
MEASUREMENT	73
DISCUSSION	73
<i>Installation</i>	73
<i>Performance</i>	74
OBSERVATIONS	74

CHAPTER 8: FRICTION METER	77
OBJECTIVE	77
MEASUREMENT	77
DISCUSSION	78
<i>Installation</i>	79
<i>Operations</i>	81
<i>Performance under Dry/Wet Conditions</i>	82
<i>Performance under Winter Conditions</i>	90
OBSERVATIONS	90
<i>Size</i>	91
<i>Data Output</i>	91
<i>Durability</i>	91
<i>Procedures</i>	91
SUMMARY.....	91
CHAPTER 9: TEMPERATURE SENSORS	93
OBJECTIVE	93
MEASUREMENT	93
DISCUSSION	94
<i>Comparing Sensor Data to RWIS Data</i>	95
<i>Temperature Sensor Test and Evaluation Done by Other Investigators</i>	95
<i>Observations Made by Iowa Maintenance Area Supervisors</i>	95
OBSERVATIONS	95
CHAPTER 10: POWER BOOSTER.....	97
OBJECTIVE	97
MEASUREMENT	97
DISCUSSION	97
OBSERVATIONS	100
CHAPTER 11: LIGHTING (VEHICLE CONSPICUITY).....	101
OBJECTIVE	101
MEASUREMENT	101
DISCUSSION	101
OBSERVATION.....	104
CHAPTER 12: BACKUP VEHICLE STOP SENSORS	105
OBJECTIVE	105
MEASUREMENT	105
DISCUSSION	105
OBSERVATION.....	106

CHAPTER 13: DATA MANAGEMENT	107
OBJECTIVE	107
MEASUREMENT	107
DISCUSSION	107
<i>Raw Data from PlowMaster</i>	109
<i>Data Filtering</i>	113
<i>Conversion to Central Standard Time</i>	114
<i>Computing Distance Traveled</i>	114
<i>Conversion to Milepost</i>	115
OBSERVATIONS	118

OBSERVATIONS

CHAPTER 14: COST IMPLICATIONS OF TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS	119
INITIAL COST OF TECHNOLOGY	119
EFFECTIVE USE OF CHEMICALS AND ABRASIVES	121
<i>Objective</i>	121
<i>Measurement</i>	121
<i>Discussion</i>	121
OBSERVATIONS	124
CONCLUSION	124

CHAPTER 15: OPERATOR INPUT AND ACCEPTANCE	125
OBJECTIVE	125
MEASUREMENT	125
DISCUSSION	125
<i>Operator Interviews</i>	125
<i>Vehicle Performance Log Sheet</i>	129
OBSERVATIONS	130
<i>Observations from Operator Interviews</i>	131
<i>Observations from Equipment Performance Log Sheets</i>	131

CHAPTER 16: NEW AND DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGIES	133
TECHNOLOGIES CONSIDERED FOR PHASE III.....	133
<i>Differential GPS</i>	133
<i>Chemical Sensor</i>	133
<i>Data Averaging</i>	133
<i>Mapping Packages</i>	133
<i>Cellular Telephone Communications Link</i>	134
TECHNOLOGIES BEING CONSIDERED BEYOND PHASE III.....	134
<i>Radio Communications Link</i>	134
<i>Collision Avoidance System</i>	135
<i>Additional Weather Data</i>	135

CHAPTER 17: DATA INTEGRATION WITH DOT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.....137

OBJECTIVE 137
MEASUREMENT 137
DISCUSSION 137
 Top-down Approach Implementation 137
 Management Systems Interface..... 138
 Concept Vehicle Interface..... 139
OBSERVATION 140

LIST OF APPENDICES141

(collected under separate cover)

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE E-1	FOUNDATION STATEMENTS AND STUDY PROCESS MAP.....	2
FIGURE E-2	EQUIPMENT OPERATOR FEEDBACK.....	6
FIGURE 1-1	STUDY PROCESS MAP	13
FIGURE 1-2	FOUR-PHASE TIME LINE	14
FIGURE 1-3	PHASE II PROTOTYPE VEHICLE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE	16
FIGURE 2-1	THREE-STEP PROCESS FLOWCHART	23
FIGURE 2-2	INITIAL PROTOTYPE TEAMS FOR WINTER 1996-1997.....	22
FIGURE 2-3	RELATIONSHIP OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR PARTICIPANTS TO EACH CONCEPT VEHICLE AT THE END OF PHASE I.....	28
FIGURE 4-1	IOWA’S PROTOTYPE MAINTENANCE VEHICLE	34
FIGURE 4-2	IOWA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE ROUTE, WINTER 1997-1998.....	38
FIGURE 4-3	MINNESOTA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE ROUTE, WINTER 1997-1998 ...	39
FIGURE 4-4	MICHIGAN PROTOTYPE VEHICLE ROUTE, WINTER 1997-1998	40
FIGURE 5-1	GAUGES, IOWA VEHICLE.....	43
FIGURE 5-2	INITIAL PLACEMENT OF PLOWMASTER AND FRICTION METER DISPLAYS, IOWA VEHICLE.....	44
FIGURE 5-3	FINAL PLACEMENT OF DISPLAYS AND TECHNOLOGY GAUGES, IOWA VEHICLE.....	45
FIGURE 5-4	MATERIAL APPLICATOR CONTROLS, IOWA VEHICLE.....	46
FIGURE 5-5	MICHIGAN PROTOTYPE VEHICLE CAB CONTROLS.....	48
FIGURE 5-6	CAB CONTROLS, MINNESOTA VEHICLE.....	49
FIGURE 5-7	PLOWMASTER DISPLAY, MINNESOTA VEHICLE.....	50
FIGURE 5-8	MATERIAL APPLICATOR CONTROLS, MINNESOTA VEHICLE.....	50
FIGURE 6-1	INTERFACES BETWEEN PLOWMASTER AND OTHER ADD-ON TECHNOLOGIES.....	54

FIGURE 6-2	PLOWMASTER EQUIPMENT	55
FIGURE 6-3	PLOWMASTER FUNCTIONS	57
FIGURE 6-4	PLOWMASTER INPUT/OUTPUT PARAMETERS.....	57
FIGURE 6-6	TYPICAL MAIN PAGE	61
FIGURE 6-7	TEMPERATURE PAGE	63
FIGURE 6-8	TEMPERATURE SETUP PAGE	64
FIGURE 6-9	SPREADER PAGE.....	65
FIGURE 6-10	FRICTION MONITOR PAGE.....	65
FIGURE 6-11	FRICTION METER SETUP PAGE	66
FIGURE 6-12	PLOW STATUS PAGE	67
FIGURE 6-13	FULL PLOW STATUS PAGE	68
FIGURE 6-14	ENGINE STATUS PAGE.....	68
FIGURE 8-1	ROAR FRICTION METER	78
FIGURE 8-2	FRICTION METER, IOWA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE	81
FIGURE 8-3	FRICTION METER, IOWA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE.....	81
FIGURE 8-4	RADO MODEL FRICTION PRINT	83
FIGURE 8-5	ASTM E-274 PARAMETERS	84
FIGURE 8-6	FRICTION TRENDS FROM DATA COMPARISON, ST. CLOUD, MINNESOTA, SEPTEMBER 18, 1997.....	85
FIGURE 8-7	ADDITIONAL IOWA TESTING RESULTS, APRIL 16, 1998.....	87
FIGURE 8-8	COMPARISON OF IOWA’S ADDITIONAL TEST RESULTS FROM APRIL 16, 1998, WITH TRENDLINES FROM MINNESOTA DOT AND ROADWARE DATA COLLECTED ON SEPTEMBER 18, 1997	88

FIGURE 8-9	COMPARISON OF MU PEAK MEASURED BY THE VARIOUS NORSEMETER UNITS VERSUS THE MU PEAK MEASURED BY A K.J. LAW UNIT AT THE SEPTEMBER 18, 1997 TESTS.....	89
FIGURE 8-10	COMPARISON OF F60 MEASURED BY THE VARIOUS NORSEMETER VERSUS THE SKID NUMBER (SN) MEASURED BY A K.J. LAW E274 UNIT AT THE SEPTEMBER 18,1997 TESTS.....	89
FIGURE 8-11	WINTER 1997-1998 DATA COLLECTION PLAN, BASED ON THE WORK PLAN FOR TEST AND EVALUATION OF FRICTION MEASURING DEVICES FOR WINTER MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES.....	92
FIGURE 9-1	ROADWATCH, IOWA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE.....	94
FIGURE 10-1	ALCOHOL TANK, IOWA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE.....	98
FIGURE 10-2	HORSEPOWER BOOST, IOWA DOT VEHICLE, JULY 22, 1997.....	99
FIGURE 10-3	HORSEPOWER BOOST, MINNESOTA DOT VEHICLE, OCTOBER 7, 1997.....	99
FIGURE 10-4	HORSEPOWER BOOST, MICHIGAN DOT VEHICLE, NOVEMBER 4, 1997.....	100
FIGURE 11-1	FIBER OPTIC LIGHT SYSTEM (CIRCLED), IOWA PROTOTYPE VEHICLE.....	101
FIGURE 11-2	LEFT BALL-AND-SOCKET JOINT (CIRCLED), HID LIGHTING SYSTEM, IOWA VEHICLE.....	103
FIGURE 11-2	RIGHT BALL-AND-SOCKET JOINT (CIRCLED), HID LIGHTING SYSTEM, IOWA VEHICLE.....	103
FIGURE 12-1	LOCATION OF REVERSE OBSTACLE SENSORS (CIRCLED), IOWA VEHICLE.....	106
FIGURE 13-1	PHASE II DATA FLOW CHART.....	108
FIGURE 13-2	GPS SPEED VERSUS DISTANCE, IOW TRUCK, JANUARY 23, 1998.....	115

FIGURE 13-3 PAVEMENT TEMPERATURE VERSUS MILEPOST, IOWA VEHICLE, JANUARY 4, 1998.....	116
FIGURE 13-4 AIR TEMPERATURE VERSUS MILEPOST, IOWA VEHICLE, JANUARY 4, 1998.....	117
FIGURE 13-5 MuPEAK VERSUS MILEPOST, IOWA VEHICLE, JANUARY 4, 1998.....	117
FIGURE 13-6 MuPEAK VERSUS DISTANCE, MINNESOTA VEHICLE, FEBRUARY 5, 1998.....	118
FIGURE 14-1 VERMONT STUDY RECOMMENDED SALT APPLICATION RATE CURVE.....	122
FIGURE 14-2 PAVEMENT TEMPERATURE VS MILEPOST	123
FIGURE 15-1 SAMPLE EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE	126
FIGURE 15-2 SAMPLE EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE LOG SHEET.....	129
FIGURE 15-3 EQUIPMENT OPERATORS' RESPONSE	131

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE E-1	TECHNOLOGIES INSTALLED IN PHASE II	3
TABLE E-2	PROOF OF CONCEPT RESULTS.....	4
TABLE 1-1	PHASE II LEVEL OF INTEGRATION OF DESIRED FUNCTIONALITY ON PROTOTYPE VEHICLES.....	15
TABLE 1-2	PROOF OF CONCEPT RESULTS.....	16
TABLE 2-1	SELECTED LITERATURE	21
TABLE 3-1	PROOF OF CONCEPT RESULTS.....	31
TABLE 4-1	TECHNOLOGY REFERENCE	34
TABLE 4-2	CONCEPT VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY AND PROVIDERS MATRIX.....	35
TABLE 4-3	NAMES, ADDRESSES, AND PHONE NUMBERS OF PRIVATE SECTOR PARTICIPANTS	36
TABLE 6-1	EQUIPMENT SUPPLIED	54
TABLE 6-2	SENSOR OUTPUTS	58
TABLE 6-3	ONBOARD COMPUTER DATA FILE A141801.XLS, IOWA VEHICLE, JANUARY 4, 1998.....	59
TABLE 6-4	ONBOARD COMPUTER DATA FILE A141801.XLS MICHIGAN VEHICLE, FEBRUARY 24, 1998	59
TABLE 6-5	ONBOARD COMPUTER DATA FILE A13B701.XLS MINNESOTA VEHICLE, FEBRUARY 5, 1998	59
TABLE 6-6	MAINTENANCE ADVISORY MESSAGES	62
TABLE 8-1	TEXTURE OF ST. CLOUD, MN, TEST TRACK, SEPTEMBER 18, 1997.....	84
TABLE 13-1	FILE DIRECTORY, WINTER 1997-1998.....	111
TABLE 13-2	SAMPLE DATA FROM ONBOARD COMPUTER DATA FILE A141801.XLS, IOWA VEHICLE, JANUARY 4, 1998	112

**TABLE 13-3 SAMPLE DATA FROM ONBOARD COMPUTER DATA FILE
A141801.XLS, MICHIGAN VEHICLE, FEBRUARY 24, 1998.....112**

**TABLE 13-4 SAMPLE DATA FROM ONBOARD COMPUTER DATA FILE
A13B701.XLS, MINNESOTA VEHICLE, FEBRUARY 5, 1998113**

TABLE 14-1 INITIAL PROTOTYPE PROVIDERS, BUDGET, AND SCHEDULE.....120

TABLE 14-2 MELTING CAPACITY OF SALT.....121

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge and thank the pooled fund study team, both public and private sector members, for their technical assistance and cooperation throughout Phase II.

Public sector members included the following agencies:

Iowa Department of Transportation
Minnesota Department of Transportation
Michigan Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Private sector members included the following companies:

Boyer Ford, Minneapolis, Minnesota
Bristol Company, Broomfield, Colorado
Component Technology, Des Moines, Iowa
Federal Signal Corporation, Tinley Park, Illinois
Foseen Manufacturing & Development, Radcliffe, Iowa
Global Sensor Systems, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada
Innovative Warning Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota
Monroe Truck Equipment, Monroe, Wisconsin
Navistar International Corporation, Fort Wayne, Indiana
O'Halloran International, Des Moines Iowa
Raven Industries, Sioux Falls, South Dakota
Roadware Corporation, Paris, Ontario, Canada
Rockwell International, Cedar Rapids, Iowa
Sprague Controls, Canby, Oregon
Tyler Ice (Tyler Industries), Benson, Minnesota

We wish to thank Mr. Lee Smithson, Deputy Director of the Maintenance Division, Iowa Department of Transportation and chairperson of the pooled fund study team; Mr. Ed Fleege, team leader for the Minnesota Department of Transportation; and Mr. Larry White, team leader for the Michigan Department of Transportation, for their teams' technical support and guidance. We also wish to thank all of the private sector partners for their generous contributions, technical support, and cooperation.

A special thanks to Dr. James C. Wambold, Ph.D., President, CDRM, Inc., for analyzing the friction data and participating in writing this report.